### Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 50 Filed 07/10/18 Page 1 of 9

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California MARK R. BECKINGTON		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GABRIELLE D. BOUTIN, SBN 267308		
4	R. MATTHEW WISE, SBN 238485 Deputy Attorneys General		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-6046		
7	Fax: (916) 324-8835 E-mail: Matthew.Wise@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California, by and	l	
	through Attorney General Xavier Becerra		
9	IN THE UNITED STAT	ΓES DISTRICT	COURT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF C	ALIFORNIA
11			
12			
13			
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through Attorney General Xavier Becerra,	Case No. 3:1	8-cv-01865
15 16	Plaintiff,	NEW YORK,	RULING IN STATE OF ET AL. v. U.S. DEP'T OF E, ET AL. (18-CV-2921) AND
17	v.	NEW YORK	MMIGRATION COALITION, S. DEP'T OF COMMERCE,
18	WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., in his official	E1 AL. (10-C	V -3023)
19	capacity as Secretary of the U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S.	T1	The Henry Lie Distant C
20	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; RON JARMIN, in his official capacity as Acting	Judge:	The Honorable Richard G. Seeborg
21	Director of the U.S. Census Bureau; U.S. CENSUS BUREAU; DOES 1-100,	Action Filed:	March 26, 2018
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL	OF RECORD	:
25	Pursuant to the Court's instructions at the J	June 28, 2018 in	nitial status conference in the
26	above-captioned action, Plaintiff State of Califor	nia, by and thro	ough Attorney General Xavier
27	Becerra, and the County and City Plaintiffs (collectively, Plaintiffs) and Wilbur Ross, U.S.		
28	Department of Commerce, Ron Jarmin, and U.S. Census Bureau (collectively, Defendants, and		

### Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 50 Filed 07/10/18 Page 2 of 9

1	together with Plaintiffs, the Parties) respectfully submit the attached order issued on July 5, 2018		
2	by Judge Jesse M. Furman in State of New York, et al. v. United States Department of Commerce,		
3	et al., Case No. 18-cv-2921, and The New York Immigration Coalition, et al. v. United States		
4	Department of Commerce, et al., Case No. 18-cv-05025. A true and correct copy of Judge		
5	Furman's Order is attached hereto as <b>Exhibit 1</b> .		
6	Pursuant to the Court's further instructions at the June 28, 2018 status conference, counsel		
7	for the Parties are in the process of meeting and conferring regarding the coordination of		
8	discovery in the event the Court grants Plaintiffs' pending Motion to Expand Discovery Beyond		
9	the Administrative Record. The Parties will advise the Court of their proposed discovery		
10	schedule and coordination plan before the Parties' scheduled August 10, 2018 hearing on		
11	Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss.		
12	Dated: July 10, 2018	Respectfully submitted,	
13		XAVIER BECERRA	
14	N	Attorney General of California MARK R. BECKINGTON	
15	S	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
16			
17		SAR. Matthew Wise GABRIELLE D. BOUTIN	
18	Г	R. MATTHEW WISE Deputy Attorneys General	
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff, State of California by and hrough Attorney General Xavier Becerra	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

# Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 50 Filed 07/10/18 Page 3 of 9

1	Dated: July 10, 2018	CHAD A. READLER Acting Assistant Attorney General
2		BRETT A. SHUMATE
3		Deputy Assistant Attorney General
4		CARLOTTA P. WELLS Assistant Branch Director
5		/s/ Kate Bailey
6		KATE BAILEY GARRETT COYLE
7		STEPHEN EHRLICH CAROL FEDERIGHI
8		Trial Attorneys
9		United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
10		20 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530
11		Phone: (202) 514-9230 Email: kate.bailey@usdoj.gov
12		Attorneys for Defendants
13	Dated: July 10, 2018	/s/ Margaret L. Carter Margaret L. Carter, SBN 220637
14		DANIEL R. SUVOR
15		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 S. Hope Street
16		Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-8000
		Fax: (213) 430-6407 Email: dsuvor@omm.com
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff County of Los Angeles
18 19	Dated: July 10, 2018	MIKE FEUER City Attorney for the City of Los Angeles
20		/s/ Valerie Flores
21		VALERIE FLORES, SBN 138572 Managing Senior Assistant City Attorney
22		200 North Main Street, 7th Floor, MS 140 Los Angeles, CA 90012
		Telephone: (213) 978-8130 Fax: (213) 978-8222
23		Email: Valerie.Flores@lacity.org
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 50 Filed 07/10/18 Page 4 of 9

1	Dated: July 10, 2018	HARVEY LEVINE City Attorney for the City of Fremont
2		/s/ Harvey Levine
3		SBN 61880 3300 Capitol Ave.
4		Fremont, CA 94538 Telephone: (510) 284-4030
5		Fax: (510) 284-4031
6		Email: hlevine@fremont.gov
7	Dated: July 10, 2018	CHARLES PARKIN City Attorney for the City of Long Beach
8		/s/ Michael J. Mais MICHAEL K. MAIS, SBN 90444
9		Assistant City Attorney
10		333 W. Ocean Blvd., 11th Floor Long Beach CA, 90802
11		Telephone: (562) 570-2200 Fax: (562) 436-1579
		Email: Michael.Mais@longbeach.gov
12	Dated: July 10, 2018	Barbara J. Parker
13		City Attorney for the City of Oakland
14		<u>/s/ Erin Bernstein</u> Maria Bee
15		Special Counsel
16		ERIN BERNSTEIN, SBN 231539 Supervising Deputy City Attorney
17		MALIA MCPHERSON Attorney
18		City Hall, 6th Floor 1 Frank Ogawa Plaza
		Oakland, Čalifornia 94612
19		Telephone: (510) 238-3601 Fax: (510) 238-6500
20		Email: ebernstein@oaklandcityattorney.org
21	Dated: July 10, 2018	JOHN LUEBBERKE City Attorney for the City of Stockton
22		
23		<u>/s/ John Luebberke</u> SBN 164893
24		425 N. El Dorado Street, 2nd Floor Stockton, CA 95202
25		Telephone: (209) 937-8333 Fax: (209) 937-8898
26		Email: John.Luebberke@stocktonca.gov
27	SA2018100904	
28	Ntc of Ruling.docx	

# Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX :	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FII DOC #: DATE FILED: 07/05/2018	
STATE OF NEW YORK, et al.,		
Plaintiff, : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	18-CV-2921 (JMF)	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al.,  Defendants.		
NEW YORK IMMIGRATION COALITION, et al., : Plaintiff, :		
-V-	18-CV-5025 (JMF)	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al.,  Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
X		

JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge:

For the reasons stated on the record at the conference held on July 3, 2018, Plaintiffs' request for an order directing Defendants to complete the administrative record and authorizing extra-record discovery is GRANTED. As discussed, the following deadlines shall apply unless and until the Court says otherwise:

- By July 23, 2018, Defendants shall produce the complete record, a privilege log, and initial disclosures.
- By September 7, 2018, Plaintiffs shall disclose their export reports.
- By September 21, 2018, Defendants shall disclose their expert reports, if any.
- By October 1, 2018, Plaintiffs shall disclose their rebuttal expert reports, if any.
- Fact and expert discovery will close on October 12, 2018.

The deadlines for initial production of documents, interrogatories, and deposition of fact witnesses may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by October 12, 2018. The parties should not anticipate extensions of the deadlines for fact discovery and expert discovery, however. Relatedly, the parties should not make a unilateral decision to stay or halt discovery (on the basis of settlement negotiations or otherwise) in anticipation of an extension. If something unforeseen arises, a party may seek a limited extension of the foregoing deadlines by letter-motion filed on ECF. Any such motion must be filed before the relevant deadline and must explain why, despite the parties' due diligence, discovery could not be completed by the relevant deadline.

The parties shall conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York. In the case of discovery disputes, parties should follow Local Civil Rule 37.2 with the following modifications. Any party wishing to raise a discovery dispute with the Court must first confer in good faith with the opposing party, in person or by telephone, in an effort to resolve the dispute. If this meet-andconfer process does not resolve the dispute, the party shall, in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and Practices in Civil Cases, promptly file a letter-motion, no longer than three pages, explaining the nature of the dispute and requesting an informal conference. Any lettermotion seeking relief must include a representation that the meet-and-confer process occurred and was unsuccessful. Any opposition to a letter-motion seeking relief shall be filed as a letter, not to exceed three pages, within three business days. Counsel should be prepared to discuss with the Court the matters raised by such letters, as the Court will seek to resolve discovery disputes quickly, by order, by conference, or by telephone. Counsel should seek relief in accordance with these procedures in a timely fashion; if a party waits until near the close of discovery to raise an issue that could have been raised earlier, the party is unlikely to be granted the relief that it seeks, let alone more time for discovery.

All motions and applications shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York, and the Court's Individual Rules and Practices (available at http://nysd.uscourts.gov/judge/Furman).

Finally, the parties shall appear for a status conference on **September 14, 2018**, at **2:00 p.m.**, in **Courtroom 1105** of the Thurgood Marshall Courthouse, 40 Centre Street, New York, New York. Absent leave of Court, by **Thursday of the week prior to that conference (or any other conference)**, the parties shall file on ECF a joint letter, not to exceed three (3) pages, regarding the status of the case. The letter should include the following information in separate paragraphs:

- (1) A statement of all existing deadlines, due dates, and/or cut-off dates;
- (2) A brief description of any outstanding motions;
- (3) A brief description of the status of discovery and of any additional discovery that needs to be completed;

#### Cased 3:88-v-009865JMS Document 509 FHddd007/105/88 Plagge35693

- (6) A statement of the anticipated length of trial;
- (7) A statement of whether the parties anticipate filing motions for summary judgment; and
- (8) Any other issue that the parties would like to address at the pretrial conference or any information that the parties believe may assist the Court in advancing the case to resolution.

United States District Judge

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein shall be made in a written application in accordance with Court's Individual Rules and Practices for Civil Cases and shall be made no fewer than two (2) business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended. Absent exceptional circumstances, extensions will not be granted after deadlines have already passed.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 5, 2018

New York, New York

3

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Case Name:	State of California, et al. v.	No.	3:18-cv-01865	
	Wilbur L. Ross, et al.	_		

I hereby certify that on <u>July 10, 2018</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

NOTICE OF RULING IN STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL. v. U.S. DEP'T OF COMMERCE, ET AL. (18-CV-2921) AND NEW YORK IMMIGRATION COALITION, ET AL. V. U.S. DEP'T OF COMMERCE, ET AL. (18-CV-5025)

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>July 10, 2018</u>, at Sacramento, California.

Tracie L. Campbell

Declarant

/s/ Tracie Campbell

Signature

SA2018100904 13154156.docx